arXiv:quant-ph/0104052v1 10 Apr 2001

Emergence of classicality in non relativistic quantum mechanics through gravitational self-interaction

Sergio De Filippo*

Dipartimento di Fisica "E. R. Caianiello", Università di Salerno

Via Allende I-84081 Baronissi (SA) ITALY

Tel: +39 089 965 229, Fax: +39 089 965 275, e-mail: defilippo@sa.infn.it

and

Unità INFM Salerno

(February 1, 2008)

An explicit dynamical model for non relativistic quantum mechanics with an effective gravitational interaction is proposed, which, as being well defined, allows in principle for the evaluation of every physical quantity. Its non unitary dynamics results from a unitary one in a space with twice as many degrees of freedom as the ordinary ones. It exhibits a threshold for the emergence of classical behavior for bodies of ordinary density on rather long times or instantaneously, respectively at around 10^{11} or 10^{20} proton masses.

03.65.-w, 03.65.Bz

Reconciling gravitation and microphysics [1] has been enduring as one of the fundamental open theoretical problems since the birth of Quantum Mechanics (QM), even more challenging after the renormalization of electrodynamics by Feynman, Tomonaga, and Schwinger [2]. Even the preliminary questions, in the author's opinion, stay essentially unanswered as Feynman left them in his lectures on gravitation: "...maybe nature is trying to tell us something here, maybe we should not try to quantize gravity" [3]. On the other hand, according to several authors [4–7], the conventional interpretation of QM is not completely satisfactory due to the dualistic description it gives for measurement processes and for time evolution of isolated microsystems.

A possible link between these two issues has been suggested on several grounds [8–12].

The most compelling reason seems to be the most elementary one. An interaction responsible for a non unitary dynamics, leading to wave function collapse and then implying localization, would inevitably be able to inject energy and consequently, in principle, to induce internal excitations in atomic systems. The excitation rate is minimized and presumably made compatible with experimental data [13,14] if the main coupling is with the center of mass motion, which is the case for the gravitational interaction only.

On the other hand the most relevant implications of the measurement problem involve low energy physics, which suggests that a solution should first be looked for within the usual setting of the latter. One of its cornerstones consists in starting from non relativistic particles with instantaneous action at a distance, which in our case means Newton interaction. Since our proposal aims at addressing even the issue of the localization of a single isolated particle, it has to include self-interaction. If this is done within the usual setting then, on one side it implies some nonlinear generalization of quantum mechanics, and, on the other side it does not introduce further degrees of freedom, which only can lead to an effective non unitary evolution of the original ones.

We intend to show that the two unwanted features mentioned above can be avoided simultaneously, staying within the framework of finitely many degrees of freedom and simply duplicating them. Each particle is replaced by (at least) two metaparticles, say a green one and a red one; in the absence of gravitational interactions the green and the red metaworlds are dynamically decoupled and each one is a replica of one and the same gravitationless world. A Newton interaction is introduced between metaparticles of different color only. The physical (meta)state space, which is dynamically invariant, is restricted to metastates where metaparticles are in couples of different colors, and within each couple the two metaparticles share the same wave function. Ordinary particles can be identified say with green metaparticles and ordinary states with the ones obtained by tracing out red metaparticles. Accordingly the Newton interaction between metaparticles belonging to different couples gives rise to an effective gravitational attraction between metaparticles of the same metaworld, whereas the one between a green metaparticle and its red partner may be involved

in wave function localization only. Such a model represents the most economical way gravitation can induce a non unitary evolution, namely representing it as an interaction between physical and unobservable degrees of freedom.

To be specific, let $H[\psi^\dagger, \psi]$ denote the second quantized non-relativistic Hamiltonian of a finite number of particle species, like electrons, nuclei, ions, atoms and/or molecules, according to the energy scale. For notational simplicity $\psi^\dagger, \psi$ denote the whole set $\psi_j^\dagger(x), \psi_j(x)$ of creation-annihilation operators, i.e. one couple per particle species and spin component. This Hamiltonian includes the usual electromagnetic interactions accounted for in atomic and molecular physics. To incorporate gravitational interactions including self-interactions, we introduce complementary creation-annihilation operators $\chi_j^\dagger(x), \chi_j(x)$ and the overall Hamiltonian

$$H_G = H[\psi^\dagger, \psi] + H[\chi^\dagger, \chi] - G\sum_{j,k} m_j m_k \int dxdy \frac{\psi_j^\dagger(x)\psi_j(x)\chi_k^\dagger(y)\chi_k(y)}{|x-y|}, \tag{1}$$

acting on the tensor product $F_\psi \otimes F_\chi$ of the Fock spaces of the ψ and χ operators, where m_i denotes the mass of the i-th particle species and G is the gravitational constant. While the χ operators are taken to obey the same statistics as the original operators ψ, we take advantage of the arbitrariness pertaining to distinct operators and, for simplicity, we choose them commuting with one another: $[\psi, \chi]_- = [\psi, \chi^\dagger]_- = 0$.

The metaparticle state space S is identified with the subspace of $F_\psi \otimes F_\chi$ including the metastates obtained from the vacuum $|0\rangle = |0\rangle_\psi \otimes |0\rangle_\chi$ by applying operators built in terms of the products $\psi_j^\dagger(x)\chi_j^\dagger(y)$ and symmetrical with respect to the interchange $\psi^\dagger \leftrightarrow \chi^\dagger$, which, as a consequence, have the same number of ψ (green) and χ (red) metaparticles of each species. In particular for instance the metastates containing one green and one red j-metaparticle are built by linear combinations of the symmetrized bilocal operators

$$\Phi_j^\dagger(x,y) \doteq \psi_j^\dagger(x)\chi_j^\dagger(y) + \psi_j^\dagger(y)\chi_j^\dagger(x), \tag{2}$$

by which the most general metastate corresponding to one particle states is represented by

$$||f\rangle\rangle = \int dx \int dy f(x,y)\psi_j^\dagger(x)\chi_j^\dagger(y)\,|0\rangle\,, \quad f(x,y) = f(y,x). \tag{3}$$

This is a consistent definition since the overall Hamiltonian is such that the corresponding time evolution is a group of (unitary) endomorphisms of S. If we prepare a pure n-particle state, represented in the original setting - excluding gravitational interactions - by

$$|g\rangle \doteq \int d^n x g(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \psi^\dagger_{j_1}(x_1) \psi^\dagger_{j_2}(x_2) ... \psi^\dagger_{j_n}(x_n) \, |0\rangle \, , \tag{4}$$

its representation in S is given by the metastate

$$||g \otimes g\rangle\rangle = \int d^n x d^n y g(x_1, ..., x_n) g(y_1, ..., y_n) \psi^\dagger_{j_1}(x_1) ... \psi^\dagger_{j_n}(x_n) \chi^\dagger_{j_1}(y_1) ... \chi^\dagger_{j_n}(y_n) \, |0\rangle \, . \tag{5}$$

As for the physical algebra, it is identified with the operator algebra of say the green metaworld. In view of this, expectation values can be evaluated by preliminarily tracing out the χ operators and then taking the average in accordance with the traditional setting.

While we are talking trivialities as to an initial metastate like in Eq. (5), that is not the case in the course of time, since the overall Hamiltonian produces entanglement between the two metaworlds, leading, once χ operators are traced out, to mixed states of the physical algebra. The ensuing non-unitary evolution induces both an effective interaction mimicking gravitation, and wave function localization.

In fact, if we evaluate the time derivative of the canonical linear momentum, for notational simplicity for particles of one and the same type, we get in the Heisenberg picture

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\overrightarrow{p}}{dt} = -i\hbar \frac{d}{dt} \int dx \psi^\dagger(x) \nabla \psi(x) \equiv \vec{F} + \vec{F}_G = \\ -\frac{i}{\hbar} \left[\overrightarrow{p}, H[\psi^\dagger, \psi] \right] + Gm^2 \int dx \psi^\dagger(x) \psi(x) \nabla_x \int dy \frac{\chi^\dagger(y) \chi(y)}{|x - y|}. \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

If $\overrightarrow{p}$ denotes the total linear momentum, i.e. the x integration extends to the whole space, and the expectation value in an arbitrary metastate vector of S is considered, the gravitational force vanishes, as it should be for self-gravitating matter, due to the antisymmetry of the kernel $\nabla_x (1/|x - y|)$ and the symmetry of the metastates in the exchange $\psi^\dagger \leftrightarrow \chi^\dagger$. On the other hand, if we evaluate the time derivative of the linear momentum of a body contained in the space region Ω, the expectation of the corresponding gravitational force is

$$\left\langle \left(\vec{F}_G\right)_\Omega \right\rangle \simeq Gm^2 \left\langle \int\limits_\Omega dx\psi^\dagger(x)\psi(x)\nabla_x \int\limits_\Omega dy \frac{\chi^\dagger(y)\chi(y)}{|x-y|} \right\rangle$$
$$+Gm^2 \int\limits_\Omega dx \left\langle \psi^\dagger(x)\psi(x) \right\rangle \nabla_x \int\limits_{R^3\setminus\Omega} dy \frac{\left\langle \chi^\dagger(y)\chi(y) \right\rangle}{|x-y|}$$
$$= Gm^2 \int\limits_\Omega dx \left\langle \psi^\dagger(x)\psi(x) \right\rangle \nabla_x \int\limits_{R^3\setminus\Omega} dy \frac{\left\langle \psi^\dagger(x)\psi(x) \right\rangle}{|x-y|}. \tag{7}$$

The term referring to body self-interaction above vanishes once again due to symmmetry reasons, while in the following term long range correlations where considered irrelevant as usual, and in the final result metastate symmetry was used once more. As for the center of mass coordinate, of course the expression of its time derivative does not depend on gravitational interactions at all.

This shows that the present model reproduces the classical aspects of the naive theory without red metaparticles and with direct Coulomb-like interactions between distinct particles only. On the other hand they disagree as for the time dependence of phase coherences.

Consider in fact in the traditional gravitationless setting a physical body in a given quantum state whose wave function $\Psi_{CM}(X)\Psi_{INT}(x_i - x_j)$ is the product of the wave function of the center of mass and an internal stationary wave function dependent on a subset, for instance, of the electronic and nuclear coordinates. In particular Ψ_{CM} can be chosen, for simplicity, in such a way that the corresponding wave function in our model is itself, at least approximately, the product of four factors: *i*)a wave function of the center of metamass, namely $(X+Y)/2$, where Y is the center of mass of the corresponding red metabody, *ii*)a stationary function of $X-Y$ describing the relative motion and *iii*) $\Psi_{INT}(x_i - x_j)$ and *iv*)its red partner $\Psi_{INT}(y_i - y_j)$, namely:

$$\Psi_{CM}(X)\Psi_{INT}(x_i - x_j)\Psi_{CM}(Y)\Psi_{INT}(y_i - y_j)$$
$$= \tilde{\Psi}_{CM}(\frac{X+Y}{2})\tilde{\Psi}_{INT}(X-Y)\Psi_{INT}(x_i - x_j)\Psi_{INT}(y_i - y_j). \tag{8}$$

In Eq. (8) $\Psi_{INT}(x_i - x_j)$ and its red partner are obviously still stationary to an excellent approximation for not too large a body mass M, since they are determined essentially from

electromagnetic interactions only. As to $\tilde{\Psi}_{INT}(X-Y)$ we choose it as the ground state of the relative motion of the two interpenetrating metabodies, which is formally equivalent to the plasma oscillations of two opposite charge distributions. The corresponding potential energy, if the body is spherically symmetric and not too far from being a homogeneous distribution of radius R, can be approximated for small relative displacements, on purely dimensional grounds, by

$$U(X-Y) = \frac{1}{2}\alpha\frac{GM^2}{R^3}\left|X-Y\right|^2, \tag{9}$$

where $\alpha \sim 10^0$ is a dimensionless constant. That means that the relative ground state is represented by

$$\tilde{\Psi}_{INT}(X-Y) = \left(2\Lambda^2\pi\right)^{-3/2}\exp\frac{-\left|X-Y\right|^2}{2\Lambda^2},\ \ \Lambda^2 = \frac{\hbar}{\sqrt{\alpha GM^3/R^3}}. \tag{10}$$

Then, if we choose $\Psi_{CM}(X) \propto \exp\left[-(X/\Lambda)^2\right]$, we get

$$\Psi_{CM}(X)\Psi_{CM}(Y) = \tilde{\Psi}_{INT}(X-Y)\tilde{\Psi}_{INT}(X+Y), \tag{11}$$

with $\tilde{\Psi}_{INT}$ as in Eq. (10). In particular for bodies of ordinary density $\sim 10^{24}m_p/cm^3$, where m_p denotes the proton mass, one gets

$$\Lambda \sim \sqrt{\frac{m_p}{M}}cm, \tag{12}$$

which shows that the small displacement approximation is acceptable already for $M \sim 10^{12}m_p$, when $\Lambda \sim 10^{-6}cm$, whereas the body dimensions are $\sim 10^{-4}cm$.

If - in the traditional setting - we now consider at time $t = 0$, omitting the irrelevant factor Ψ_{INT}, a superposition

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\Psi_{CM}(X) + \Psi_{CM}(X+Z)\right], \tag{13}$$

the corresponding density matrix, before tracing out the red particle, is represented in our model by

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{4}\left[\bar{\Psi}_{CM}(X') + \bar{\Psi}_{CM}(X'+Z)\right]\left[\bar{\Psi}_{CM}(Y') + \bar{\Psi}_{CM}(Y'+Z)\right]\\ &\left[\Psi_{CM}(X) + \Psi_{CM}(X+Z)\right]\left[\Psi_{CM}(Y) + \Psi_{CM}(Y+Z)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

For not too long times and $Z \gtrsim 2R$, the main effect of time evolution is due to the energy difference

$$E_{BIND} \simeq -GM^2/R \simeq 10^{-47} \left(\frac{M}{m_p}\right)^{5/3} erg \tag{15}$$

between products $\Psi_{CM}(X)\Psi_{CM}(Y)$, $\Psi_{CM}(X+Z)\Psi_{CM}(Y+Z)$ corresponding to interpenetrating metabodies and $\Psi_{CM}(X)\Psi_{CM}(Y+Z)$, $\Psi_{CM}(X+Z)\Psi_{CM}(Y)$, where the gravitational interaction is irrelevant. After tracing out the red metaparticles, we get at time t the density matrix

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\bar{\Psi}_{CM}(X')\Psi_{CM}(X) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\Psi}_{CM}(X'+Z)\Psi_{CM}(X+Z) \\ &+\frac{1}{2}\left[\bar{\Psi}_{CM}(X')\Psi_{CM}(X+Z) + \bar{\Psi}_{CM}(X'+Z)\Psi_{CM}(X)\right]\cos\frac{E_{BIND}t}{\hbar},\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

leading to the emergence of classical behavior as soon as coherences for a macroscopic body are unobservable due to their time oscillation. If for instance we consider a body of 10^{21} proton masses, we get a frequency $E_{BIND}/\hbar \sim 10^{15}\,\mathrm{sec}^{-1}$, corresponding to a length $\hbar c/E_{BIND} \sim 10^{-5} cm$, much less than the radius $R \sim 10^{-1} cm$. This shows that in such a case these coherences are totally unobservable as, in order to detect them, a measurement time far lower than the time needed for the light to cross the body would be needed.

Of course when the particle mass is not large enough for the oscillations to hide coherences, they may decrease in time due to the difference in spreading between the cases of interpenetrating and separated metabodies. In the former case the spreading affects only the wave function of $X+Y$, while in the latter the two gaussian wave packets for the two metabodies have independent spreading. Since in general a gaussian wave packet $\propto \exp[-x^2/(2\lambda^2)]$ spreads in time into a wave packet $\propto \exp[-x^2/(2\lambda^2 + 2i\hbar t/m)]$, where m is the particle mass, the typical time τ for the spreading to affect coherences is quite long:

$$\tau \sim \frac{M\Lambda^2}{2\pi\hbar} \sim 10^2\,\mathrm{sec}\,. \tag{17}$$

It is worthwhile remarking that, while the expression of the localization length Λ in Eq.10 holds only for bodies whose mass is not lower than say 10^{12} proton masses, another simple

case corresponds to masses lower than 10^{10} proton masses, where the relative motion between the two metabodies in their ground state has not the character of plasma oscillations any more, and they can be considered approximately as point metaparticles. Their ground state wave function then becomes the hydrogen-like wave function

$$\Psi(X-Y) \propto e^{-|X-Y|/a}, \;\; a = \frac{2\hbar^2}{GM^3} \sim 10^{25} \left(\frac{M}{m_p}\right)^{-3} cm, \tag{18}$$

which shows that the gravitational self-interaction between the green metabody and its red partner can be ignored for all practical purposes at the molecular level.

As a result of the previous analysis, according to the present model, fundamental decoherence due to gravitation is not expected to hide the wavelike properties of particles even much larger than fullerene [15,16], while it could still play a crucial role with reference to the measurement problem in QM. While in fact environment induced decoherence [17] can make it very hard to detect the usually much weaker effects of fundamental decoherence, it cannot go farther than produce entanglement with the environment. If and why such entangled states should collapse is outside its scope.

As to the relationship between our model and Einstein equations of the gravitational field, the viewpoint we adhered to here is that the latter may be presumably only a large scale manifestation of a fundamental theory that may well be out of reach, and whose possible non relativistic limit is the object of the present letter.

In conclusion we would like to stress that a threshold for gravitationally-induced wavefunction localization was already hinted elsewhere [9,10,12,18] on heuristic, and primarily numerological, grounds. However this is the first time, in the author's knowledge, that a detailed dynamical model is proposed, which, while reproducing the classical aspects of the gravitational interaction, does it as a result of a non unitary evolution and may then account for the emergence of classical behavior. While much remains to be done in order to analyze more general states and their evolution under the concurrent action of electromagnetic and gravitational interactions, the model allows in principle to answer all physically meaningful questions by explicit evaluation. In particular such a model for fundamental decoherence

makes it possible to look for physical subalgebras having (fundamentally) decoherence-free states [19].

Acknowledgments - Financial support from M.U.R.S.T., Italy and I.N.F.M., Salerno is acknowledged

---

* Phone: +39 89 965229; FAX: +39 89 965275; electronic address: defilippo@sa.infn.it

[1] P.M.A. Dirac, *Lectures on Quantum Mechanics*. Belfer Graduate School of Science. Yeshiva University, NY (1964).

[2] *Selected Papers on Quantum Electrodynamics*, J. Schwinger ed., Dover Pubs. Inc., NY (1958).

[3] R.P. Feynman, F.B. Moringo and W.G. Wagner, 1962-3 Lectures on Gravitation. California Institute of Technology (1973), p.12.

[4] J. S. Bell, in *Sixty-Two Years of Uncertainty*, A. I. Miller ed., Plenum, NY (1990), p.17, refs. therein.

[5] G. C. Ghirardi, A. Rimini and T. Weber, Phys. Rev.D **36**, 3287 (1987).

[6] P. Pearle, in *Open Systems and Measurement in Relativistic Quantum Theory*, H.P. Breuer and F. Petruccione Eds. (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1999), refs. therein.

[7] I.C. Percival, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **451**, 503 (1995).

[8] F. Karolyhazy, A. Frenkel and B. Lukacs B., in *Quantum Concepts in Space and Time,* R. Penrose and C.J. Isham Eds. Clarenden, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford (1986), refs. therein.

[9] L. Diosi, Phys. Lett. A **105**, 199 (1984).

[10] L. Diosi, Phys. Rev. A **40**, 1165 (1989), refs. therein.

[11] R. Penrose, Gen. Rel. Grav. **28**, 581 (1996).

[12] D. Kumar, V. Soni, Phys. Lett. A **271**, 157 (2000).

[13] P. Pearle, E. Squires, Phys. Rev. Lett. **73** 1 (1994).

[14] P. Pearle, J. Ring, J. I. Collar and T. Frank, Found. Phys. **29**, 465 (1999).

[15] A.I.M Rae, Nature, **401** (6754), 651 (1999).

[16] M. Arndt et al., Nature, **401** (6754), 680 (1999).

[17] J.P. Paz, W.J. Zurek, LANL quant-ph/0010011, refs. therein.

[18] S. De Filippo, LANL quant-ph/0007078.

[19] S. De Filippo, Phys. Rev. A **29**, 052307 (2000).